



Scan me!



## Integrative Approaches in Teaching Foreign Languages and Its Analysis

Fotima Toshpulatova  [orcid: 0009-0005-9322-1260](https://orcid.org/0009-0005-9322-1260)  
e-mail: [toshpulatova.fotima@gmail.com](mailto:toshpulatova.fotima@gmail.com)

Senior teacher, Department of foreign language and literature,  
University of Tashkent for Applied Sciences, Tashkent, 100149, Uzbekistan

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15177319>

**Abstract:** This thesis examines and discusses some of the main issues related to the implementation of an integrative approach in the educational process and students' foreign language preparation.

**Key words:** Integrative approach, intra-subject integration, interdisciplinary integration, education and training process, foreign languages.

### 1 Introduction

At the current stage of the development of society, the complex training of students, consisting of a number of consecutive, consecutive stages of professional growth, which provides a multivariable result, the development and formation of a moral and mature person who can actively participate in social life, is an urgent problem is considered

The leading link of such training is humanitarian, which allows to form a free person with the ability of independent creative thinking and constant self-improvement.

In the educational process, a special demand is placed on the process of teaching a foreign language, its effective implementation in the context of the interdependence of teaching all types of speech activities, the active inclusion of humanitarian, country studies and cultural aspects in the teaching content possible

The term "integration" was firmly established in the 1980s in the work on pedagogy. Originally derived from the Latin word "*integratio*", which means to restore, complete, round up any parts, even before this term was widely used in the professional vocabulary of various fields of knowledge to designate the relevant process. [1,4].

In the Philosophical Encyclopedic Dictionary [2,203] we can see that the definition of integration has been developed, filling it with such a concept as "the aspect of the development process related to the integration of parts and elements into a whole". We find a close definition in the "logical dictionary-reference" [2,203], which defines integration as "*...the wholeness, the unity of any elements, the restoration of any unity.*" In the exact sciences, integration can be understood as the sum of its weight with respect to some parameter, and the integral is "a whole quantity considered as the sum of its infinitesimal parts" [3,48].

### 2 Methods and materials

In English literature, the term "*integrate*" [3] is widely used to describe the process of convergence and linking of disciplines that occurs alongside the process of differentiation. In biology, integration is understood as "... the order, consistency and integration of functions and structures characteristic of a living system at certain levels of organization" [2,203].

In psychology, we find "*group integration*" defined as "*coherence, orderliness and stability of the system of processes within the group*" [4,19]. Philosophers define integration as the process of forming connections that ensure the interdependence of elements and the emergence of an "integral feature" [1, 51], A. D. Ursula defined the term "*integration*" as the unification of any parts into a single whole, and used it as a previously autonomous used to describe the interdependence processes of elements as a single population [5,113].

In all the above definitions, on the one hand, a single trend is observed, which indicates the interdependence of individual elements, and on the other hand, the formation of a whole. Each element interacts with others on the basis of consistency, order, stability. Thus, in the interpretation of the term "integration" by private sciences, first of

all, it can be understood as the process of uniting into a whole, restoring some kind of unity, and integration is the process of forming a whole.

In pedagogy, integration is defined as "the highest form of expressing the unity of goals, principles, content, and forms of organizing the educational process, which is carried out in two cycles of education, aimed at strengthening the entire educational system" [2,16]. A. P. Belyaeva plays an important role in theoretical justification and practical implementation of the idea of integration in pedagogy. Summarizing the data of special sciences and analyzing the definitions of integration in philosophy, A. P. Belyaeva deepens this concept and emphasizes that integration in any sciences is the process and result of creating an integral whole [3].

### 3 Results and discussion

Currently, our independent republic is experiencing a unique period of development in the field of education. The vital needs of our time require raising the level of educational work in schools to a higher level and educating the future generation who have thoroughly studied foreign languages, is to teach them to develop their logical thinking and to be able to express their thoughts in English, to form a modern worldview in them. If we use an integrative method in our education system, it will certainly be justified, and this approach is currently used in the education system of many countries. This article describes the purpose and content of this approach.

The integrative approach in pedagogy is a unique method, which means mixing, adding and connecting different branches of science. The word "integration" also refers to the integration of separate parts. In education, integrative integration is usually used between disciplines, but if we implement this method within the discipline itself, much more advanced results will be achieved in the teaching of that particular subject. or, tasks presented through problem situations that develop thinking can be linked to vocabulary memorization. Y.A. Kamensky said about this in pedagogy: *"Knowledge that a person learns should not be isolated, fragmented, but focused on interdependence and integrity", "Integration is not only connecting educational elements , maybe it means to find a solution to problems that cannot be answered by the help of one science, using the proportionality of other sciences."* Cross-curricular integration: in this, learning materials from one course are combined with learning materials from another course that are similar in essence, and this helps the student to master the subject, the textbook. Interdisciplinary integration provides a direction to actively search for new pedagogical solutions, to have an effective and reasonable impact on students, and to develop the creative potential of pedagogical staff. As a result of the development of the scientific and pedagogical foundations of the integration of academic subjects and subjects, the effectiveness of education increases and excessive effort and stress are prevented. The goal of today's education is to educate a creative person who can reveal all his potential. This is the concept of *"innovation"*, which requires changes within the system.

By introducing the term *"integration"* into the methodology, we rely on the same meanings that are present in foreign language pedagogy and others. We understand it in the framework of the curriculum we have developed as follows: integration is the integration of educational content, forms, methods, principles and elements of language education into a whole in scientific, professional, general education and humanitarian fields. Describing integration as a process helps to highlight the following essential and constant steps of this procedure:

- *determining the factor that makes up the system;*
- *creating a new structure of the program;*
- *content processing;*
- *performance testing.*

The invariants in the list can be fundamental in the development of a curriculum for schoolchildren. Integration arose out of a desire to model a holistic learning process.

Determining the goals of integration is related to the conscious need and the ability to connect individual topics, topics, sections. The choice of integration objects is determined as follows: intra-disciplinary integration is carried out to accelerate and speed up the program, and if you wish, you can expand it due to components, courses close to each other, inter-disciplinary integration is carried out. By selecting the structural objects required for integration, select the constant variable components - the components of your structure. The definition of the integrating factor that makes up the system means finding a basis for integration. It is not possible to integrate knowledge without a system-forming factor acting as an integrator.

Whole disciplines and even systems of science can act as a system-forming factor. For example, some authors [4, 7] consider "their" technical knowledge to be an integrator of sciences, others include teaching methodology to the factor that makes up the system, and others include psychology.

In the program developed for students, the educational text, which is a material at the same time, is an integrator and a system-forming factor:

- 1) a foreign language for learning a subject;
- 2) for interdependent teaching of all types of speech activity;

- 3) formation of necessary skills and qualifications;
- 4) to get acquainted with the language system;
- 5) to ensure the formation of spiritual culture and the system of universal human values.

According to A. P. Belyaeva, the development of scientific knowledge is always characterized by two main opposing trends: integration and differentiation, emphasizing the dialectical unity of the processes of integration and differentiation, they do not coexist with each other and do not follow each other, but in each other and in the other. appears [3]. According to the principle of the unity of differentiation integration, the integrative approach to teaching should reflect the content in a differentiated form, which allows to reflect the unity of the general through integration and the uniqueness through differentiation.

In the lesson with an integrative approach, efforts are made to improve the principle of creativity, personal development, and independent learning skills in the student's mind activation of educational activities, achieving a high level of learning material. Integration prevents the fragmentation of knowledge in its place.

It should also be mentioned that there are negative aspects of integration in education. Above, only the positive aspects of this direction were highlighted. The negative aspects of integration include the following: an increase in the density of the lesson, a decrease in details, spending a lot of time on preparing for the lesson. Therefore, in providing integrated education, the pedagogue is required to have a high level of knowledge and universality in the lessons [13]. The advantage of integration is not narrow knowledge, but its goal is to develop an active professional.

#### **4 Conclusion**

In conclusion, it should be said that foreign language programs conducted with the help of an integrative approach provide students with opportunities for self-awareness and self-development. Through this approach, the world image is fully formed in the minds of students, their worldview and extra-linguistic knowledge about the country where the language is being studied increases. Of course, the approach that creates such opportunities meets the demands of modern society.

The structured sequence scheme regularly corresponds to the stages and specific forms of education [10].

Thus, integration issues are solved by adding or replacing blocks of content that vary depending on the educational stage and age group of students. The composition of variable modular blocks is differentiated. Country studies, cultural, general scientific blocks are immutable. Although a lot has been said about integration, nevertheless, to date, different subjects and even different departments of the same subject are closed, self-sufficient, other aspects of the subject and other academic subjects. is presented as something almost unrelated. Students should be able to see the functional relationships between different disciplines and their components, identify the generality of methodological cycles in the acquisition of knowledge.

#### **Reference**

1. Akhlibitsky B.A. Categorical aspect of the concept of integration//Dialectics as the basis for the integration of scientific knowledge. – L., 1984. – P.51.
2. Bezrukova V.S. Pedagogical integration: essence, mechanisms of implementation // Integration processes in pedagogical theory and practice. – Sverdlovsk: 1990. – 128 p.
3. Belyaeva A.P. Theoretical foundations for integrating the content of vocational education. – Sverdlovsk: 188. – 19 p.
4. Kedrov B.M. The integrative function of philosophy in the system of modern scientific knowledge//Dialectics as the basis for the integration of scientific knowledge. – L., 1984. – pp. 7-12.
5. Kitaigorodskaya G.A. Methodological foundations of intensive teaching of foreign languages. – M.: Moscow State University Publishing House, 1986. 176 p.
6. Levin V.G. Integrative function of the concept of a system//Dialectics as the basis for the integration of scientific knowledge. – L.: 1984. – p.72.
7. Gesture's role in speaking, learning, and creating language. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 64(1), 257–283. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-113011-143802>
8. Gong, J., Wang, S., Wang, J., Feng, W., Peng, H., Tang, J., & Yu, P. S. (2020). Attentional graph convolutional networks for knowledge concept recommendation in moocs in a heterogeneous view. In *Proceedings of the 43rd international ACM SIGIR conference on research and development in information retrieval* (pp. 79–88).
9. Haley, K. J., & Fessler, D. M. T. (2005). Nobody's watching? Subtle cues affect generosity in an anonymous economic game. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 26(3), 245–256. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2005.01.002>
10. Harper, K. C., Chen, K., & Yen, D. C. (2004). Distance learning, virtual classrooms, and teaching pedagogy in the internet environment. *Technology in Society*, 26(4), 585–598.

11. Herlocker, J. L., Konstan, J. A., Terveen, L. G., & Riedl, J. T. (2004). Evaluating collaborative filtering recommender systems. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS)*, 22(1), 5–53.
12. Hogan, S. (2014). Being ethical: Process drama and professional ethics education for pre-service drama teachers. *Northward Journal*, 38(1), 74e87. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14452294.2014.11649574>
13. Itani, A., Brisson, L., & Garlatti, S. (2018). Understanding learner's drop-out in MOOCs. In *Proceedings of the international conference on intelligent data engineering and automated learning* (pp. 233–244).
14. Itier, R. J., & Batty, M. (2009). Neural bases of eye and gaze processing: The core of social cognition. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 33(6), 843–863. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.02.004>
15. Johnson, W. L., & Lester, J. C. (2016). Face-to-face interaction with pedagogical agents, twenty years later. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 26(1), 25–36. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-015-0065-9>
16. Johnson, R. B., Onwuegbuzie, A. J., & Turner, L. A. (2007). Toward a definition of mixed methods research. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 1(2), 112e133. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689806298224>
17. Kar, P., Chattopadhyay, S., & Chakraborty, S. (2020). Gestatten: Estimation of user's attention in mobile MOOCs from eye gaze and gaze gesture tracking. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 4(EICS), 1–32.
18. Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P. B., & Christensen, R. H. B. (2017). lmerTest package: tests in linear mixed effects models. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 82(13), 1–26.
19. Lawson, A. P., Mayer, R. E., Adamo-Villani, N., Benes, B., Lei, X., & Cheng, J. (2021). Recognizing the emotional state of human and virtual instructors. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 114, Article 106554. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106554>
20. Laws, C., & Davies, B. (2000). Poststructuralist theory in practice: Working with "behaviourally disturbed" children. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, 13(3), 205e221. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09518390050019631>
21. Lin, L., Ginns, P., Wang, T., & Zhang, P. (2020). Using a pedagogical agent to deliver conversational style instruction: What benefits can you obtain? *Computers & Education*, 143, Article 103658. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103658>
22. Mayer, R. E., & DaPra, C. S. (2012). An embodiment effect in computer-based learning with animated pedagogical agents. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 18(3), 239–252. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0028616>
23. Mansfield, J. (2007). The arts in the Aotearoa New Zealand Curriculum: Challenges for educating teachers in contemporary conditions. *Education*, 35(2), 155e166. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03004270701311994>, 3-13.
24. McDonough, S., Forgasz, R., Berry, A., & Taylor, M. (2016). All brain and still no body: Moving towards a pedagogy of embodiment in teacher education. In D. Garbett, & A. Ovens (Eds.), *Enacting self-study as methodology for professional inquiry* (pp. 433e439). *Self-Study of Teacher Education Practices (S-STEP)*.
25. McLaren, M., Welsh, S., & Long, S. (2021). Subverting perceptions of academic and professional learning with drama. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education*, 49(3), 249e261. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1359866X.2020.1731731>
26. McNaughton, M. J. (2010). Educational drama in education for sustainable development: Pedagogy in action. *Pedagogy, Culture & Society*, 18(3), 289e308. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14681366.2010.505460>