




Scan me!



Formal features of detached construction in English and Uzbek languages

Nigina Sobirova  [orcid : 0009-0007-0698-7896](https://orcid.org/0009-0007-0698-7896)
e-mail: sobiroman682@gmail.com

Senior teacher, Department of the "Foreign Languages", University of Tashkent for Applied Sciences,
Gavhar Street 1, Tashkent 100149, Uzbekistan

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15176304>

Abstract : This article examines the detached construction as a linguistic phenomenon in linguistics, which is one of the topical issues for the stylistics direction of linguistics. Language phenomena in English and Uzbek are directly related to language and speech issues. It is in this respect that the phenomenon of the detached construction has its own characteristics in language matters. A detachment is a linguistic phenomenon, a speaker's unintended but additionally stated afterthought. It expands, realizes, completes and clarifies the content of the main expression of the previous sentence. By means of this phenomenon, in the course of the conversation, an explanation that is left incomplete in the main sentence and is considered extremely necessary to be said can be filled. In the process of studying the detached construction, it became clear that its relationship with a number of similar phenomena in linguistics, the similarities and differences between them, are the cause of certain discussions. This article discusses some of the new features of the detached construction. The formal features of detachments are different from each language. We identify all of the detachment in the literary texts.

Key words : Detached constructions, formal feature, semantic feature, typology.

1 Introduction

In world linguistics, attention has been paid to the practice of systematically researching the main factors that ensure the mutual selection of linguistic phenomena in the context of speech communication based on a comparative approach. In this regard, this phenomenon has been studied in a number of languages for years in the form of experimental studies. It can be seen that this problem has not been studied enough in the example of the languages of the European nations. The problem of studying the application phenomenon and related issues were put forward in Russian linguistics in the late 19th and early 20th centuries. As noted, this phenomenon has hardly been studied in the languages of European nations. Nevertheless, in the 19th century, when comparative typological linguistics prevailed, the issue of comparative study of languages was in the center of attention of linguistics. In the 20th century, the development of the phenomenon of application in linguistics and the movement towards its formal and semantic study in language increased attention to its application in several languages, together with the features of comparative study. In our study, we tried to scientifically research aspects such as the typology of detached construction in English and Uzbek languages, their formal and semantic features, and their rendering in mutual translations.

A number of changes taking place in our country will not fail to have an impact on linguistics. It should be said with satisfaction that the results of the reforms carried out today in Uzbek linguistics are the cause of their qualitative rise to a new level. The entry of this phenomenon into Uzbek linguistics dates back to the 60s of the 20th century. It is important to study it under the name of "detached constructions" and compare it with other language units. However, the study of the features of the application in languages with different constructions remains one of the issues that have not yet been fully resolved in linguistics. Already, although there are works done in this regard, its essence has not been fully studied. This situation motivates him to open new layers. When studying the phenomenon of the detached construction, comparing it with other languages, conducting research on the deep understanding of their relationship, it can be considered one of the most important issues in linguistics, which most likely causes many questions.

2 Technology for obtaining materials and research method

During the conversation, the listener's and the speaker's thoughts have relative completeness. The additional explanation of the speaker's speech by adding some thought that has not been thought of in advance is manifested

in the form of additional devices. And the phenomenon of detached construction is reflected in different forms and by means of different parts of speech.

Detached construction can formally consist of a single word, a phrase, a simple sentence: 1. *Qizlar majlisi — gullar, lolalar, to‘tilar, qumrilar majlisi* (A.Qodiriy. 2019: 53-b). 2. *Kimdir, oradan bittasi «kelishdi!» deb yubordi* (A.Qodiriy 2019: 54-b). 3. *Ikkinchi o‘lturish Ziyo akanikidan ikki kun so‘ng qutidornikida bo‘ldi. Bu majlisda ham o‘sha birinchidagi kishilar edilar. Yolg‘iz Homid yo‘q edi* (A.Qodiriy 2019: 89-b).

According to Y.A.Referovskaya, the detached construction is a complex syntactic combination, and at the same time, such devices give an additional explanation to the main sentence or a part of it. The main clause and the detached construction are separated from each other by a period. According to the author, it is appropriate to study the detached construction into two large groups:

- 1) detachment that can be an alternative to a part of the main sentence, that is, in the form of a part of a sentence;
- 2) detachment that begin with a conjunction and require the form of connected or followed conjunctions.

In both of these groups, the detached construction is separated from the main clause by a period. In addition, the detached construction included in these groups, in turn, can be divided into other types. For example, types that are given by lexical repetition, non-repetitive, etc.

G. Y. Solganik's views on the formal nature of the detached construction are different. According to him, the application can come not only as a part of the main sentence, but also as an independent sentence. But in both cases, the application will have expressive and emotional features. Detachments are an extremely important syntactic and stylistic factor in connecting separate topics in the clauses of a prose work, especially in connecting the last sentences of these clauses with the next clause. Because in such cases, the sentence at the end of the previous paragraph is repeated once again at the beginning of the next paragraph in the form of an appendix. But now it comes in a relatively different semantic function.

The generality of Y.A.Referovskaya and G.Y.Solganik's opinions is as follows, the detached construction is built in such a way that they come in addition to the main and leading clause at the border of a simple sentence and are lexically and grammatically connected with it. In fact, it is part of the main sentence. Detached construction together with the main sentence form a complex whole. The adjunct expands, realizes, and clarifies the content of the main expression of the previous sentence by means of the following expression, which is not preconceived, but additionally stated: Come, my child, I will show you the wolf. Very naughty. Very wild.

The detached construction is not in an equal and subordinate connection with the main clause, but has a syntactic relationship with a relative connection. They can join the main clause with or without a conjunction. The case of joining without a conjunction is often found in the Uzbek language. *In my youth, there were no such weddings, everything was simple and peaceful.*

- Example - my wedding.

3 Experimental results and their discussion

While we are studying detached construction and their use in artistic texts, we have taken Uzbek works of art as a source in order to cover our work more fully. Appendices are formed as parts of a sentence, because it is not at first thought to express an idea expressed by such parts of a sentence. In the process of speech, there is a need to say them additionally. As a result of this need, words and phrases with the function of primary and secondary clauses are given.

- 1) The detachments in the form of sentences. *“Otchopar” bozorida qadrdon do‘stimni uchratib qoldim. Matematik... olim ... sigaret sotib o‘tirgan ekan.... Meni ko‘rib ko‘zini yashirdi. Men ham burilib ketdim... ikkalamiz bir-birimizdan nega uyalganimizni bilmayman. Negadir... yig‘lagim keldi...* (O‘.Hoshimov. 30-b).
- 2) If there is detachments are formed as a subject, it serves to complete the content of the sentence: *-Menga qarang, mullo! dedim mulzam qotib, bundan chiqdi, otangiz elektr toki, onangiz inkubator ekanda! Unda siz tuxum bo‘lasizmi? Albatta, hammaniyam Xudo yaratgan. Gullarniyam, tikanlarniyam, odamniyam, eshakniyam... Olloh sizni eshak emas, odam qilib yaratganiga shukrona qilmaysizmi? Tangri sizga aql-zakovat, til-zabon berganiga xursand bo‘lmaysizmi?* (O‘.H. 128-b)
- 3) It is more common for the cut to come as a detachment than for the predicate. The form and structure of the main sentence with a participle is different. *“Yuk ko‘targan odam yuzaga chiqadi”, deyдилar... chiqadi. Albatta, chiqadi! Faqat yuk ko‘targanni suyab yuboradigan qo‘l bo‘lsa bas.!* (O‘.H.15-b)
- 4) Detached construction are formed as an adverbial modifier. - *Daryoning naryog‘ida. Bekatda* («Sharq yulduzi»).
- 5) The detachment is formed as an object *Ko‘ngli – paxtasidek oppoq. Fe‘li paxtasidek yumshoq. Mehri paxtasidek qaynoq... Faqatgina bir aybi bor: “paxta qo‘yish” ni bilmaydi!* (O‘.H. 57-b).

- 6) The detachment is formed as an attribute *Quyosh botishi bilan qorong'ilik tushadi. Olisdan dara tomondan soy shovullaydi. Qayerdadir haqqush tinimsiz nola chekadi. Yeyildi. Ichildi. Xushchaqchaq suhbatlar bo'ldi...* (O'.H.183-b)

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, the formal features of the detached construction in English are mainly used by conjunctions and prepositions. Non-conjunctive detachments are not unique to modern English fiction, unless in formal grammar it is possible to connect an adjunct through some other semantic relationship. A conjunction case is characterized by the use of commas, semicolons, periods, and the non-conjunction case is characterized by the use of periods and semicolons. In English, the application is given in four types, depending on the devices in question. All of them are additional messages for the attached part and each has its own characteristics. The analysis shows that in modern English, adjuncts can be complete or incomplete, the adjunct part of the sentence can be parallel to the main part, and the attached adjuncts can be in the form of a rhetorical question. They are rare. Detached construction are also characterized by the use of repeated words and phrases, the author separates them from the main sentence and shows their semantic importance.

So, although detached construction is a phenomenon specific to many languages, based on the rules of each language, it can be said that in each language, detached construction is approached according to the semantic and formal features of that language. An adverbial device is mainly a small syntactic phenomenon, which serves to express our speech fluently and clearly.

Reference

1. Is'hoqov F. Hozirgi zamon o'zbek tilida ilovali qurilmalar // Adabiyotshunoslik va tilshunoslik masalalari. 2-kitob. – Toshkent: O'zR FA, 1961. – P. 285-289
2. Maskopov B. O'zbek tilidagi ilova qurilmalar haqida // O'zbek tili va adabiyoti. – 1970. – №6. – B. 50- 54.; Maskopov B. O'zbek tilshunosligida ilova qurilmalar masalasi // O'zbek tili va adabiyoti. – 1973. – №5. – P.49-52.
3. Abdullayev A. Ilova ko'chirma gapli qurilmalar // O'zbek tili va adabiyoti. – 1982. – №2. – P. 50-52.
4. Musayev A. // O'zbek so'zlashuv nutqi uslubida gap bo'laklari tartibi // Fan.- T: 2011
5. G'afforov A.A. Hozirgi o'zbek tilida parsellyativ va ilovali qurilmalarning sintaktik derivatsiyasi: Filol. fanlari. Nomzodi. diss. avtoref. – Samarkand, 1997. p26.
6. Maxmudova Sh.M. Formation of translation competence in a nonlanguage educational organization. Til va adabiyot ta'limi-T:2024-y., 4-son,156-158b.
7. Sobirova N.A. - Conceptual significance of intertextual inclusion within literary recipient text. Academia Science/ vol. 4 no. 04 (2023): 91-94