

Research of the Solution of the Operator-Differential Equation in Partial Derivatives of the Fourth Order

Aizharkyn Zhorobekovna Ashirbaeva¹
e-mail: ajjarkyn.osh@mail.ru

Chebire Burkanovna Zholdoshova²
e-mail: chebire86@mail.ru

Mambetov Zhoomart Imanalievich³
e-mail: zhoomart_mambetov@mail.ru

^{1,2,3}Osh Technological University named after M.Adyshev, Osh, Kyrgyzstan

Abstract. Physical problems are modeled by fourth order partial differential equations. Solving such nonlinear equations poses a lot of difficulties. Recently, the method of an additional argument (MAA) has been used to study the solution of higher order partial differential equations. In this work, using the specified method, we prove the existence of a solution to an operator-differential equation in partial derivatives of the fourth order with given initial conditions. Additional conditions are included for functions specified in the initial conditions.

Keywords. Differential equations, partial derivatives, fourth order, nonlinear, the method of an additional argument, initial conditions.

1 Introduction

There is considered the equation in operator form for a more detailed review of the literature:

$$D^n[B(t, x; u)u(t, x)] = F(t, x; u), \quad (*)$$

where B, F are functions or operators concerning the unknown functions u , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $D[\omega] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \omega \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$.

The method of an additional argument (MAA) was initially used for first-order equations. In work [6], the existence and uniqueness of the solution to equation (*) with the initial condition are proved.

$$u(0, x) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in R. \quad (**)$$

and with the following data:

$$n = 1, \quad B(t, x; u) = u(t, x), \quad F = f(t, x, u(t, x), \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x, \xi)u(t, \xi)d\xi), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T^*,$$

where T^* determined from the source data.

The problem (*) – (**) was considered in [5]:

$$n = 1, \quad B(t, x; u) = \int_0^1 u(t, \xi)d\xi, \quad F = f(t, x, u(t, x)),$$

Using the MAA, the initial problem was reduced to an integral equation of three independent variables, and the existence of a solution was proven.

$$\text{At } n = 1, \quad B(t, x; u) = u(t, x), \quad F = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_0(x - \xi)u_{\xi}(t, \xi)d\xi$$

equation (*) was studied in works [2, 3, 10].

The issues of classification and reduction to canonical form of fourth-order linear differential equations and the construction of the Riemann function for fourth-order hyperbolic equations are considered in [4].

It was established that the method of an additional argument makes it possible to quite effectively determine the solvability conditions of the Cauchy problem for systems of equations in [6], [9, 11].

Using the basic ideas of the method of an additional argument in [7, 8], differential and integro-differential partial differential equations of the Korteweg-de Vries type, as well as nonlinear wave equations, were studied.

We use function classes and results from [1].

2 Formulation of the problem

We consider the nonlinear operator-differential equation (NODE) in partial derivatives (PD) of the form:

$$D^2[-u(t, x)]D^2[u(t, x)]u(t, x) = F(t; u), \quad G_2(T) = [0, T] \times R, \quad (1)$$

where $n, m \in N$, $T \in R_{++}$ - some given number,

$F(t; u)$ - operator depending only on t for any function u and for which the conditions are met:

1. F - uninterrupted;

2. By $L > 0$ and for $T^* \leq T$ condition is met:

$$\|F(t, x; u_1(s, \xi) : s, \xi) - F(t, x; u_2(s, \xi) : s, \xi)\|_{G_2(T^*)} \leq L \|u_1(t, x) - u_2(t, x)\|_{G_2(T^*)},$$

Let's write equation (1) for a special case:

$$D[-u(t, x)]D[u(t, x)]u(t, x) = u_{tt}(t, x) - u^2(t, x)u_{xx}(t, x) + u_x(t, x)[u_t(t, x) - u(t, x)u_x(t, x)] = F(t; u).$$

$\bar{C}^{(k)}(\Omega)$ - the space of defined, continuous and bounded functions, together with all their derivatives up to order k on Ω .

Along with equation (1), we consider the initial conditions (IC):

$$\frac{\partial^k u(t, x)}{\partial t^k} \Big|_{t=0} = \psi_k(x), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad (2)$$

where $\psi_k(x) \in \bar{C}^{(4)}(R)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

3 Results

Theorem. Let be:

1) for F , conditions 1, 2 are satisfied.

2) the functions $\psi_k(x) \in \bar{C}^{(4)}(R)$, $k = 0, \dots, 3$ satisfy the conditions:

$$D[-u(t, x)]D^2[u(t, x)]u(t, x) \Big|_{t=0} = 0,$$

$$D^2[u(t, x)]u(t, x) \Big|_{t=0} = 0.$$

Then problem (1)-(2) has a unique solution $u(t, x) \in \bar{C}^{(4)}(G_2(T^*))$, where $T^* \leq T$ is determined from the data of the initial problem (1), (2).

Proof.

We carry out the proof of the theorem with proofs of a number of lemmas. We use the following notation:

$$p(\tau, t, x; u) = x - \int_{\tau}^t u(s, p(s, t, x; u)) ds, \quad (3)$$

Since it is a function $u(t, x) \in \bar{C}^{(4)}(G_2(T))$, satisfies the Lipschitz condition for x with some number $L > 0$.

Lemma 1. From (3) the identity follows:

$$p(\tau, t, p(t, \theta, x; u); u) = p(\tau, \theta, x; u), \quad (\tau, t, \theta, x) \in Q_3(T), \quad (4)$$

$$Q_n(T) = \{(t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_n, x) \mid 0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq t_3 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq T, x \in R\}.$$

Proof. In (3) we replace x by $p(t, \theta, x; u)$:

$$p(\tau, t, p(t, \theta, x; u); u) = p(t, \theta, x; u) - \int_{\tau}^t u(s, p(s, t, p(t, \theta, x; u); u)) ds,$$

$$p(\tau, \theta, x; u) = x - \int_{\tau}^{\theta} u(s, p(s, \theta, x; u)) ds.$$

Using the notation $q(\tau, t, \theta, x; u) = |p(\tau, t, p(t, \theta, x; u); u) - p(\tau, \theta, x; u)|$,

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| p(\tau, t, p(t, \theta, x; u); u) - p(\tau, \theta, x; u) \right| \leq \left| x - \int_{\tau}^{\theta} u(s, p(s, \theta, x; u)) ds - \int_{\tau}^t u(s, p(s, t, p(t, \theta, x; u); u)) ds - \right. \\ & \left. - x + \int_{\tau}^{\theta} u(s, p(s, \theta, x; u)) ds \right| \leq \left| - \int_{\tau}^{\theta} u(s, p(s, \theta, x; u)) ds + \int_{\tau}^{\theta} u(s, p(s, \theta, x; u)) ds - \right. \\ & \left. - \int_{\tau}^t u(s, p(s, t, p(t, \theta, x; u); u)) ds \right| \leq \int_{\tau}^t L |p(s, t, p(t, \theta, x; u); u) - p(s, \theta, x; v)| ds. \end{aligned}$$

From the last expression using the introduced notation we have

$$q(\tau, t, \theta, x; u) \leq \int_{\tau}^t L q(s, t, \theta, x; u) ds. \quad (5)$$

From (5) we get:

$$q(\tau, t, \theta, x; u) \equiv 0$$

and (4) has proven.

For formula (4) we use the following notation:

$$v(\tau, t, x) = u(\tau, p(\tau, t, x; u)).$$

Therefore, from (4) we have:

$$p(\tau, t, x; v) = x - \int_{\tau}^t v(s, t, x) ds, \quad (6)$$

It should be noted that if

$$D[v(t, t, x)]v(\tau, t, x) = 0, \quad (7)$$

then

$$D[v(t, t, x)]p(\tau, t, x; v) = 0. \quad (8)$$

Let's introduce the operator

$$A(t, x; v) = \psi_0(p(0, t, x; v)) + t\varphi(p(0, t, x; v)) + \int_0^t (t - \rho) I(\rho; u) d\rho \quad (9)$$

$$I(t; u) = \int_0^t (t - s) F(s; u) ds, \quad (D[u(t, x)]u(t, x))|_{t=0} = \varphi(x).$$

Lemma 2. If (7) is satisfied,

$$v(\tau, t, x) = A(\tau, p(\tau, t, x; v); v) \quad (10)$$

$$u(t, x) = v(t, t, x), \quad (11)$$

then the function is a solution to problem (1), (2), and vice versa.

Proof. We can introduce a few notations to simplify the proof:

$$z(t, x; u) = D^2[u(t, x)]u(t, x),$$

$$z_1(t, x; u) = D[-u(t, x)]z(t, x; u),$$

$$z_2(t, x; u) = D[-u(t, x)]z_1(t, x; u).$$

$$\theta(t, x; u) = D[u(t, x)]u(t, x),$$

Therefore, the DE in PE (1) has the form:

$$D^2[-u(t, x)]z(t, x; u) = F(t; u). \quad (12)$$

From (12) we obtain:

$$D[-u(t, x)]z_1(t, x; u) = F(t; u). \quad (13)$$

We received the initial problem (13), (2). For this task we use the method of an additional argument (MAA). Then this problem comes down to solving the following equation:

$$z_1(t, x; u) = \int_0^t F(s; u) ds. \quad (14_1)$$

We see that it is easy to prove that (13) is true by differentiating equation (14₁). For $t = 0$ in (14₁), we obtain $z_1(0, x; u) = 0$.

Next, we use MAA for problem (14₁), (2) and obtain:

$$z(t, x; u) = \int_0^t (t-s)F(s; u)ds. \quad (14_2)$$

Thus, applying MAA sequentially twice to (13), we get (14₂). The reverse case is also valid. That is, from equation (14₂), by sequentially differentiating it, we get (13).

Next, using the introduced notation from (14₂), we obtain:

$$D[u(t, x)]\theta(t, x; u) = \int_0^t (t-s)F(s; u)ds = I(t; u). \quad (15)$$

We use MAA for problem (15), (2) and obtain:

$$\theta(t, x; u) = \varphi(p(0, t, x; v)) + \int_0^t I(\rho; u)d\rho, \quad (16_1)$$

$$\theta(t, x; u)|_{t=0} = \varphi(x).$$

By differentiating (16₁), we prove the inverse relationship:

$$D[u(t, x)]\theta(t, x; u) = \varphi'(p(0, t, x; v))D[u(t, x)]p(0, t, x; v) + I(t; u).$$

Due to (8), (11) we obtain (15) and $\theta(0, x; u) = \varphi(x)$.

From (16₁), (2) using MAA, we obtain:

$$u(t, x) = \psi_0(p(0, t, x; v)) + t\varphi(p(0, t, x; v)) + \int_0^t (t-\rho)I(\rho; u)d\rho, \quad (16_2)$$

And back differentiating (16₂) by t and x, we obtain (16₁).

In (16₂) at t=0 we have: $u(0, x) = \psi_0(x)$.

The lemma is proven.

Lemma 3. The solution to equation (10) satisfies equation (7), and the function $u(t, x)$ from (11) satisfies (9).

Proof. Let $v(\tau, t, x) \in \bar{C}^{(4)}(Q_2(T^*))$ be a solution to (10). Then from (10) by differentiation we obtain:

$$\omega(\tau, t, x) \equiv -(\varphi(p(0, t, x; v)) + t\varphi'(p(0, t, x; v))) \int_0^t \omega(s, t, x)ds,$$

where

$$\omega(\tau, t, x) = D[v(t, t, x)]v(\tau, t, x).$$

This implies: $\omega(\tau, t, x) = 0$.

Therefore, (7) is true. When $\tau=t$ in (10) we obtain (11).

The lemma is proven.

Lemma 4. Equation (10) at $T^* < T$ has a solution in $\bar{C}(Q_2(T^*))$.

Proof. We use a more convenient representation of equation (10) in the form

$$v(\tau, t, x) = J(\tau, t; v), \quad (17)$$

where $J(\tau, t; v) = A(\tau, p(\tau, t, x; v); v)$.

By $T^* < T$ we have:

$$|J(\tau, t; 0)| = |A(\tau, p(\tau, t, x; 0); 0)| =$$

$$= \left| \psi_0(p(0, t, x; v)) + t\varphi(p(0, t, x; v)) + \int_0^t (t-\rho)I(\rho; u)d\rho \right| \leq$$

$$| \psi_0(p(0, t, x; v)) + t\varphi(p(0, t, x; v)) | + \int_0^t (t-\rho) \left| \int_0^\rho (\rho-s)F(s; 0)ds \right| \leq$$

$$\leq |\psi_0| + t|\varphi| + \|F(t; 0)\|_{[0, t]} \frac{t^4}{2!} \leq \Omega_0(T^*),$$

where

$$\Omega_0(S) = \|\psi_0\| + \|\varphi\|S + \|F(t; 0)\|_{[0, t]} \frac{S^4}{2!}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& |J(\tau, t; v_1) - J(\tau, t; v_2)| \leq \left| \psi_0(p(0, t, x; v_1)) + t\varphi(p(0, t, x; v_1)) + \int_0^t (t - \rho)I(\rho; v_1)d\rho - \right. \\
& \left. - \psi_0(p(0, t, x; v_2)) + t\varphi(p(0, t, x; v_2)) + \int_0^t (t - \rho)I(\rho; v_2)d\rho \right| \leq \\
& \leq T^* \Omega_1 \|v_1 - v_2\|_{G_2(T^*)},
\end{aligned}$$

где

$$\Omega_1 = \|\psi'_0\| + \|\varphi'\|T + \frac{T^4}{2!}.$$

Thus, the conditions of Lemma 4 are satisfied and we obtain that equation (10) has a solution in the space of functions with a norm of no more than $2\Omega_0(T^*)$.

The lemma is proven.

Lemma 5. All derivatives of the solution to equation (10) are continuous for sufficiently small values $T < T^*$.

Proof.

Let's prove that $v(\tau, t, x) \in \overline{C}^{(4)}(Q_2(T^*))$, $u(t, x) \in \overline{C}^{(4)}(G_2(T^*))$.

Assuming $v_i \in \overline{C}$, we get estimates:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \frac{\partial A(\tau, p(\tau, t, x; v); v)}{\partial t} \right\| \leq (\|\psi'_0\| + \|\varphi'\|t_0)(\|v\| + t_0\|v_i\|) = V_t = \text{const} < \infty. \\
& \left\| \frac{\partial A(\tau, p(\tau, t, x; v_1); v_1)}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial A(\tau, p(\tau, t, x; v_2); v_2)}{\partial t} \right\| \leq \Omega_1(t_0)\|v_{1t} - v_{2t}\|.
\end{aligned}$$

We also obtain estimates for $\frac{\partial A}{\partial x}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \frac{\partial A(\tau, p(\tau, t, x; v); v)}{\partial x} \right\| \leq (\|\psi'_0\| + \|\varphi'\|t_0)(1 + t_0 \cdot \|v_x\|) = V_x = \text{const} < \infty. \\
& \left\| \frac{\partial A(\tau, p(\tau, t, x; v_1); v_1)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A(\tau, p(\tau, t, x; v_2); v_2)}{\partial x} \right\| \leq \Omega_1(T^*)\|v_{1x} - v_{2x}\|.
\end{aligned}$$

The following estimates are obtained:

$$\|u_t(t, x)\| \leq \|\theta_1(t, x; u)\| + V_t, \quad \|u_x(t, x)\| \leq V_x.$$

Continuing this process, we obtain the validity of the relations $v(\tau, t, x) \in \overline{C}^{(4)}(Q_2(T^*))$, $u(t, x) \in \overline{C}^{(4)}(G_2(T^*))$ для $n \geq 3$.

The lemma is proven.

We have proven all the lemmas. It is reasonable to say that we have proven the theorem based on these proofs.

4 Conclusion

In this work, the study of the local solution to a fourth-order operator-differential equation with partial derivatives was considered. Additional conditions were considered for the functions given in the initial conditions. The scheme for applying the method of an additional argument (MAA) presented in this work can be used in the study of solutions to other higher-order equations.

References

1. A.Zh. Ashirbaeva and E.A. Mamazieva, "Solution of a nonlinear operator-differential equation in partial derivatives of the second order using the additional argument method", (Vestnik KRSU, V.15, No.5. 2015), pp. 61–64.

2. M.I. Imanaliev and S.N. Alekseenko, "On the theory of nonlinear integro-differential partial differential equations of Whitham type" (Reports of the Russian Academy of Sciences, T. 323, No. 3. 1992), pp. 410–414.
3. M.I. Imanaliev, T.M. Imanaliev, U.M. Imanaliev, "On the theory of almost soliton solutions of nonlinear integro-differential equations in third order partial derivatives", (Research on integro-differential equations, – Bishkek: Ilim, 2004. – Issue. 33.), pp.17-23.
4. P.S. Pankov and O.D. Budnikova, "Numerical solution of the problem of the motion of Riemann waves based on the additional argument method", (Research on integro-differential equations. – Bishkek: Ilim, 2003. – Issue. 32), pp.35–38.
5. A.Zh. Ashirbaeva, "Approximate solution of the initial problem for nonlinear second-order partial differential equations using the additional argument method", (Research on integro-differential equations. – Bishkek: Ilim, – Issue 46, 2014), pp. 37–40.
6. A.Zh. Ashirbaeva and Ch.B. Zholdosheva, "Solution of a nonlinear integro-differential equation in partial derivatives of the second order of hyperbolic type" (Bulletin of Osh State University, Series of natural and medical sciences, Issue1, No. 2, 2012), pp. 144–149.
7. A.Zh. Ashirbaeva, "A new method for solving a general equation of hyperbolic type" (Mathematical education, 2018), pp.35-38.
8. A.Zh. Ashirbaeva and E.A. Mamaziaeva, "Solution of a nonlinear operator-differential equation in partial derivatives of the second order of hyperbolic type" (Science and new technologies, №2, 2015), pp. 8–11.
9. A.Zh. Ashirbaeva and E.A. Mamaziaeva, "Reduction of the nonlinear problem of electrical oscillations in wires to systems of integral equations using the method of additional argument" (Izvestia of OshTU, No.1, Osh, 2015), pp. 87–90.