

Adapting and Supplementing English Textbooks for National Context

Dilafuz Abdurashidova  [orcid: 0009-0006-8319-709X](https://orcid.org/0009-0006-8319-709X)
e-mail: dilafuzJalil@gmail.com

EFL teacher, English language and literature, University Tashkent for Applied Sciences, Gavhar Str. 1,
Tashkent 100149, Uzbekistan

Abstract: The aim of this work is to analyse how much the market trend textbooks are suitable to different contexts, particularly Uzbek national context. Due to the limitation of research methods, to cover every aspect of the study and save time, energy, and funding, one of the most effective approaches – a triangular approach was employed: incorporating investigation, observation, and questionnaires. The initial phase involved scrutinizing various course materials to assess their suitability for facilitating real-life conversations, aligning with learners' linguistic capabilities, and achieving the i+1 model as proposed by Krashen. Subsequent visits to learning centers enabled direct observation of classroom dynamics and facilities, while engaging in discussions with educators provided insights into their strategies for fostering communicative lessons. Employing a questionnaire proved efficacious, not only for collecting data but also for streamlining the research process. The results showed that adaptation is the aspect of textbook evaluation that is too important to neglect. Therefore, adaptation and supplementation must be carried out by any means necessary.

Key words: Textbook, adaption, supplementation, national context, textbook evaluation, triangular approach, adaptability, appropriateness, students' autonomy.

1. Introduction

In the realm of English language education, the adaptation and supplementation of textbooks for national contexts is a crucial and multifaceted endeavor. This article delves into the intricate process of tailoring English textbooks to suit the specific needs and nuances of diverse educational landscapes. From considerations of culture and students' preferences to the influence of government policies and the overarching aims of learning English, a myriad of essential aspects come into play. Furthermore, the selection of text topics and the alignment with students' proficiency levels are pivotal in ensuring effective language acquisition. This study aims to explore the challenges and opportunities inherent in adapting and supplementing English textbooks for national contexts, shedding light on current practices and proposing future directions for enhancing the quality and relevance of English language education.

2. Methods

2.1 Subject of the study

For this project, a group of 11 EFL learners has been selected. Their age ranges from 18 to 23, and in this regard, most of them are enrolled in their bachelor studies in local universities while only two of them are already graduates. By gender, they are all cisgender, and the number of female students is five while the other six students are male. By family status, they are all single. When it comes to their nationality, there are seven Uzbek, two Russian, a Tajik and an Armenian student studying English together. In this regard, their language background is also quite diverse. Russian language is either first or second language for all the students while Uzbek, Tajik and Armenian is the native language for seven students, a student and a student respectively. Moreover, the Armenian and Tajik student are also fluent in using Uzbek language since it is another home

language for them. To be more precise, their fathers are Uzbek, and they use Uzbek at home to talk with their fathers.

Regarding the context where they are learning the target language, Registan, a language center located in Shukhrat Street, Chilanazar district, Tashkent, is a study place for them to take private English courses to prepare for the IELTS exam. In the center, lessons are held 3 times a week, and each lesson lasts for 2 hours. All the language skills are integrated in class activities to help learners to be prepared for the exam test materials. As for the language teaching methods, CLT and TBLT are implemented during the classes with the help of several communicative and collaborative tasks like role-plays, discussions and group projects.

With regards to the prior English language learning experiences, all the students took only private group sessions to learn the English language apart from their formal education at school where they learnt the basics of English. Therefore, it is better to divide their learning experience into two phases like passive and active. Regarding the passive learning experience, they have been learning English for over a decade while they have been studying English actively for the last 2 years.

When it comes to the way how instruction was provided, the students were mostly taught using the deductive way of teaching since they were explicitly provided with rules and patterns by their instructors before they were engaged in applying language forms. According to Chalipa (2013), deductive language teaching is mostly applied in teacher-centered classrooms as language instructors play an important role in the language task accomplishment and explain everything to their learners where in inductive teaching, students need to deduce the rules and patterns themselves using the noticing skills. For the last few months, their instruction has been shifted from deductive one into inductive one.

Regarding the instructional practices, they were involved in doing grammar tests, translating English texts into Uzbek, or learning a long list of new words after copying them isolated into their notebooks, which aligns with the elements of GTM according to Brown (2014). Moreover, they have been taught using CLT in the last two years since they have been encouraged to apply language forms in meaningful interactions. As Savignon (1991) highlighted, CLT is student-centered and provides learners with opportunities for authentic language use. During their studies in the last two years, the students were involved in several communicative tasks to demonstrate their language skills in context throughout different activities like role-plays, discussions, and presentations. In this sense, the focus of the English lessons the learners had shifted from grammar, vocabulary and reading to speaking and listening.

In terms of their current levels, students were assessed based on their recent achievement test which comprised of all the language skills along with grammar and vocabulary parts. According to the results, the students' level is about pre-intermediate now. It can be observed in their language practices as well since they are able to comprehend a bit longer texts or audios and talk about familiar situations, which aligns with the CEFR standards.

Nowadays, the learners are involved in improving all of their language skills not overlooking the significance of grammatical structures and vocabulary parts. For instance, students learn grammar in an inductive way since they need to deduce the rule themselves, and the textbook they use in the class comprise various grammatical units. Likewise, during the classes, their language skills are focused through meaningful tasks, and they need to demonstrate their comprehending and application by interacting with others. However, language activities are not simply selected and used in the classroom (Macalister, 2016). Therefore, most language materials are adapted or supplemented to meet the needs of learners. For example, prior to applying any teaching material in

the classroom, the content or the instruction of these materials are usually modified and changed to match with the learners' identities, needs, age, and level since it is important to consider their sociolinguistic background to provide better language resources (Savova, 2018).

As for the motivation, the students in this group have different motivation types. Some of the students enjoy the process of learning English language, which can consider as intrinsic motivation or learning the language for its own sake (Dornyei, 2014). However, all the students have the purpose of continuing their studies in various degrees and they need to show their decent English proficiency levels; therefore, they are learning the target language. According to Dornyei (2014), extrinsic motivation is linked with the learners' purposes of achieving better grades or getting a good job, which can also be observed in the selected students.

Regarding their investment, it is important in achieving better linguistic results to be able to communicate effectively in the target language, and it implies the amount of time, money and effort spent on learning the language (Darvin & Norton, 2015). In this sense, the learners invest enough time to work on their language skills while the financial investment is also worth considering since they are taking private courses to improve their communicative competence in the target language. Thus, their efforts put in learning the target language is sufficient.

As for the strengths of the learners, some students are good at expressing their ideas freely since they do not have any anxiety while others feel hesitated to talk in front of the others due to their personality. According to Moody (1988), personality types can also influence on the language learning process. Moreover, some students have better comprehension in reading while other students can understand better if it is provided in an audio format. However, all the students have some problems in their grammatical knowledge use.

2.2 Methods


To delve into the very topic triangular approach is chosen: research, observation, and questionnaire. First, several course books were investigated to determine whether students can have conversations on real-life topics, the level of activities and texts are appropriate for learners' linguistic abilities and background knowledge to promote i+1 approach suggested by Krashen and to meet students' needs and lacks. By visiting to LCs to get familiar with learning situations and observe classes, the data about learning facilities and atmosphere of classroom was gathered. It is also vital to have a talk with teachers and instructors what they consider before their class to make lesson communicative. For this reason, making a questionnaire can be seen as an effective tool not only because it helps to gather data but also it saves time and energy.

3. Results

For the study of textbook adaption and supplementation, Speakout Pre-intermediate Student's book second edition (2015), by Pearson Education Limited has been chosen. Regarding the textbook evaluation, the unit about "Travel" given in the book is organized in an appealing and attractive way as the coloring does not distract the learners. Moreover, the reading level is suitable for the learners' age since they do not have any problems to come up with ideas to contribute to the lesson activities performance. As for the instructions given in the unit, they are all clear to guide learners to accomplish the task effectively. With regards to the content, authentic situations are incorporated with the help of a wide variety of activities that are suitable for learners' needs and level. Besides that, the use of non-textual materials including pictures and graphics is effective and the content does not have any errors in terms of grammar, spelling, and punctuation. Several activities are integrated to address diverse learning abilities like visual and auditory learning styles

and thus content is relevant and relatable for the students. When it comes to the inclusion and equity in the unit materials, both genders are featured in equally important roles and written content and pictures include individuals of various cultures and social backgrounds. Any stereotypes or offensive language forms are not spotted.

Activity 1. Pair discussion (p.48)



VOCABULARY
TRANSPORT

1 Work in pairs and answer the questions.

1 How many types of transport can you think of in two minutes? Make a list.

2 What do you think is the best way to travel? Why?

According to Tomlinson's (2011) principles of materials designing, this activity can enable pre-intermediate students to foster their cognitive engagement since they need to generate ideas about the types of transport. Moreover, as the task is not too complicated and does not require any complex language uses, it can be encouraging for students to discuss in pairs to build interaction using their communication skills. Additionally, as the

task has a certain time limit along with clear instructions on what to do, it can be helpful for language learners to gain confidence in their abilities throughout the process. As the focus is on types of transport, it can provide relevance and authenticity since students come across applying transport types in their everyday speech, which can enable them to reflect on their background knowledge on transport. Furthermore, as the activity requires language learners to contribute to the task performance by generating and sharing their ideas, it can be stimulating for self-investment of students by taking responsibility of their learning and engaging in purposeful interaction with their peer.

However, as the task does not introduce new linguistic features explicitly and it puts great emphasis on activating students' prior knowledge, it can be a bit challenging for those who have problems in vocabulary or grammar use or cannot express their reasons in a coherent way. In this manner, the task does not draw learners' attention to a certain linguistic feature as it mainly aims to enable students to generate ideas and express them rather than dissecting language structures. Therefore, regarding the needs of my students who have linguistic problems in vocabulary and grammar use along with their challenges in speaking, I would **supplement** this task to meet their needs. According to McGrath (2016), not a single book necessarily always addresses the needs of certain learners, supplementation or adding something new to meet the needs can be a best option once a language instructor has recognized the deficiencies in the existing materials to use their own class. As I mentioned above, my learners have certain linguistic challenges and the task is not fully suitable for them, I suggest supplementing it by adding several scaffolding elements like providing them with a worksheet of transport types and sentence starters. This can be done by either using pre-existing materials or designing one's own linguistic resources, as emphasized by McGrath (2016).

Activity 2. Jigsaw reading (p.48)



READING

2 Work in pairs. Look at photos A–C and discuss the questions.

- 1 What types of transport do you think appear in the films above?
- 2 Where do you think the people are going?

3 Work in groups. Student A: read the text on this page. Student B: read the text on page 161. Student C: read the text on page 163. As you read, make notes about your text.

- 1 Who made the journey?
- 2 Why did they want to go?
- 3 Where did they go?

4 Take turns to tell your group about your text. Which story do you think sounds the most interesting?

KON-TIKI

In the middle of the twentieth century the Norwegian explorer and writer Thor Heyerdahl developed a theory. He believed that people from South America travelled to Polynesia 1,500 years ago and settled there. At the time, very few others believed his theory. They thought the journey was too difficult without modern technology. While others were discussing the theory, Heyerdahl decided to test it.

Using only materials and technology available to the people of that time, Heyerdahl and his team of five sailors (and a parrot) built a wooden raft*. On 28 April 1947 they left from Peru and crossed the Pacific.

While they were sailing, huge waves crashed into the raft, and whales and sharks came close. 101 days and 4,300 miles later they arrived in Polynesia. At the time, no one knew this type of journey was possible. But perhaps the most amazing thing about the journey was that Thor Heyerdahl didn't know how to swim!

Heyerdahl later wrote a book about the journey, and in 2012 a Norwegian film called *Kon-Tiki* came out, based on the trip.

*raft: a flat boat usually made of wood

To evaluate this activity, I applied Ellis's (2005) principles and found out that it only aligns with certain ones while a few principles like focus-on-form, individual differences and comprehensive language assessment are left intact while developing this activity. Regarding the alignments, as the activity requires students to discuss transport types and travel destinations based on the given photos, it can clearly focus more on meaning-based communication (Principle 2). Moreover, the activity gives chances for learners to produce oral output since they are engaged in pair and group discussions to share their ideas and notes (Principle 7). Furthermore, due to the pair and group-work manner, the activity can encourage interaction in the target language among students (Principle 8).

However, the activity does not focus on developing students' competence in using formulaic expressions or rule-based language production since they simply discuss the transport types and travel destinations, which can be non-alignment to Principle 1 whereas it does not involve focus-on-form, which is against Principle 3. Likewise, even though Principle 5 and 9 highlighted the significance of considering individual learner needs or preferences accordingly, the activity does not address specific needs of language learners. Moreover, the activity does not include any assessment of learners' proficiency either in a controlled or free manner, which can be against

Principle 10. To eliminate these materials designing issues, I would suggest **adapting** this activity to the needs of the participant-learners in this project.

According to Macalister (2016), adapting existing sources can optimize language learning as it is possible to address the highlighted weak areas in the activity evaluated above with the help of answering several questions regarding what and in what order to teach, how to teach and how to assess in a formative way. Moreover, the changes can be done in several modes like content and sequencing, format and presentation, and monitoring and assessment (Macalister, 2016). As proper sequencing can be scaffolding for the learners while a change in format and presentation can address their individual differences, or monitoring and assessment can give better on-going image of the learners' progress throughout the activity. By doing this, the existing activity can be adapted to meet the needs and preferences of my learners.

Activity 3. Grammar (p. 49)

GRAMMAR

PAST SIMPLE AND PAST CONTINUOUS

6 A Look at sentences a)–c) and answer the questions.

- a) While they **were sailing**, huge waves **crashed** into the raft.
 - b) While he **was living** wild, he **wrote** a diary.
 - c) When it **was raining**, the girls **decided** to escape.
- 1 What tenses are the verbs in bold?
 - 2 Which action started first in each sentence (*sail* or *crash*, etc.)?
 - 3 Which action took a longer period of time?
 - 4 Which actions are background information and which are main events?

B Underline the correct alternative to complete the rules.

RULES

- 1 Use the *past simple/past continuous* for background actions that continue for a long time.
- 2 Use the *past simple/past continuous* for shorter actions that move the story forward.

C Find one more example of the past simple and the past continuous in the same sentence in your text.

I used comparatively less detailed principles to evaluate this activity. Based on Bell and Gower (2011, in Tomlinson, 2011, pp. 135-150), it aligns with some principles. As for the flexibility, the activity does not hinder a language instructor from selecting and re-ordering the sentences according to the preferences. Moreover, as the grammatical point is treated inductively since students are asked to identify the usage patterns of the tenses without explicitly being given rules or explanations, which can infer to an inductive approach to grammar teaching. This can also foster natural language and emphasis on review since students need to dissect and identify tense use in context.


However, the provided sentence for analysis is necessarily taken from authentic texts but rather constructed for focus-on-form purpose. Furthermore, not all the students can be engaged in the activity since it focuses on dissecting the tense uses paying attention to their structure, and not other language skills except reading at the last point have been integrated. It would be better to prioritize the reading texts to provide additional contextualization to make the activity more interesting and engaging for learners. Moreover, the activity does not address metacognitive skills development or “learning how to learn” skills since students do not reflect on their learning experience and realize that the knowledge can be applied outside the classroom by exploring various learning strategies or resources. Therefore, I would suggest **adapting** this task to meet the needs of the learners.

As Macalister (2016) mentioned, it is important to address the lacks and necessities in the language materials. It is true that the learners have problems in using certain tenses like past

continuous and past simple, yet it should be taught in an interactive way by incorporating other language skills. Moreover, by adapting the format and presentation, the learners can take better advantages over the task since their needs can be addressed in an appropriate way by engaging them in a more authentic way. Additionally, as Nation and Macalister (2010) noted, it is important to provide students with feedback on their language learning by considering their on-going needs and environment analysis. In this regard, they can progress better in their language learning.

Activity 4. Guided practice (p.49)

- 9 Work in pairs and take turns. Student A: make sentences with the past simple and the past continuous. Use a prompt from A and a prompt from B. Student B: respond with another sentence beginning with *So ...*.
 A: *I was sleeping in my bed when I heard a strange noise.*
 B: *So I called the police.*



This activity aligns with the principles proposed by Tomlinson (2011). First, as it provides an interactive way of applying the forms on focus, learners can develop their grammatical understanding in an engaging and authentic way. Second, as the students are involved in pair work, it can give them a more comfortable and supportive environment for using language forms by collaborating and building confidence, which is also important in materials designing. Moreover, as the activity focuses on the practical use of two tenses, the students can realize the value and benefits of being able to accurately use grammatical forms. Additionally, as students create their own sentences based on the prompt ideas, they are sufficiently encouraged to invest in their own learning by constructing meaningful language use. As the learners have been taught the structures of the past simple and past continuous in the previous tasks, they are ready to apply their gained knowledge in communicative context, which can ensure the authenticity as well. Furthermore, as the explicit focus is on the use of two tenses, it can be said that the learners' attention is directed towards a certain linguistic form or structure. Besides that, students are provided with enough communicative opportunities to be involved in meaningful exchange with their peer, which is also important to maintain interaction and authenticity. In this regard, I would **select** this activity to use for my learners.

As my learners have problems in applying grammatical forms in use and lacks speaking abilities, this activity can address these issues in an effective way. As Tomlinson (2011) highlighted, the importance of making students feel at ease is also important in developing lesson materials and if the students are too challenged in addressing task specifications, they may easily lose their motivation to continue learning. Moreover, language materials designed by taking the specific needs into account can help students gain confidence and facilitate their self-investment in the task. In this regard, as mentioned above, the given task is suitable for the needs of the selected learners.


4. Discussion

Adapt or Supplement

To adapt Activity 3, I would suggest the following outline:

1. Pair students up.
2. Give a text to them.
3. Ask them to read and pay attention to the bolded language forms.
4. Assign students to discuss the use of the structures in pairs.
5. Give pairs a worksheet to fill.
6. Ask pairs to answer certain questions in their discussion and fill in the worksheet with their ideas.
7. Ask students to make their own sentences using the forms.
8. Ask each pair to present their worksheet notes and new sample sentences.
9. Encourage students to provide feedback on other students' explanation and sentences.

The chosen text



KON-TIKI

In the middle of the twentieth century the Norwegian explorer and writer Thor Heyerdahl developed a theory. He believed that people from South America travelled to Polynesia 1,500 years ago and settled there. At the time, very few others believed his theory. They thought the journey was too difficult without modern technology. **While others were discussing the theory, Heyerdahl decided to test it.**

Using only materials and technology available to the people of that time, Heyerdahl and his team of five sailors (and a parrot) built a wooden raft*. On 28 April 1947 they left from Peru and crossed the Pacific.

While they were sailing, huge waves crashed into the raft, and whales and sharks came close. 101 days and 4,300 miles later they arrived in Polynesia. At the time, no one knew this type of journey was possible. But perhaps the most amazing thing about the journey was that Thor Heyerdahl didn't know how to swim!

Heyerdahl later wrote a book about the journey, and in 2012 a Norwegian film called *Kon-Tiki* came out, based on the trip.

*raft: a flat boat usually made of wood

Worksheet:

Questions	Notes
What is the structure?	
When to use this structure?	
How to use this structure?	
Which is a longer action?	
Which is a shorter action?	
Make your sample sentences	

To justify the changes, I compare the adapted and existing activity based on the principles proposed by Bell and Gower (2011, in Tomlinson, 2011, pp.135-150). As in the adapted version of the activity, students are paired up to give more interactional chances and instead of the isolated

sentences extracted from the context, students are given a whole context and drawn their attention to the forms by highlighting the sentences to provide a more meaningful context. These features can align with Principle 2 (authenticity) and Principle 4 (naturalness) as students are exposed to natural language use with the help of more interactive and relevant learning process. Moreover, Principle 8 (integration of other skills) and Principle 7 (personalized practice) have been addressed in the adapted activity since learners are involved in reading a text, answering the questions in a written way and discussing and presenting their points (integration of other skills) and provided with a worksheet to organize their ideas by promoting reflective learning (personalized practice). Furthermore, as students are asked to make model sentences on their own, it can give them a chance to apply their gained knowledge in a communicative manner to promote fluency and self-expression (Principle 9) while each pair receives constructive feedback from their peers to promote collaborative learning while practicing oral skills in this task (Principle 6). Therefore, the adapted version of the existing material can better cater for the specific needs of the participants in this project.

5. Acknowledgement

The author expresses her gratitude to the learners and instructors at the Language Centre Registan for letting her observe classes, showing their willingness to participate questionnaire and all scientific and practical help in writing this article.

6. Conclusion

The adaptation and supplementation of English textbooks for national contexts present a complex and multifaceted process that requires careful consideration of various crucial aspects. From the incorporation of cultural elements and students' preferences to the influence of government policies and the overarching aims of learning English, there are numerous necessary factors that must be taken into account. Additionally, the selection of text topics and alignment with students' proficiency levels play a pivotal role in ensuring effective language acquisition.

This study has shed light on the challenges and opportunities inherent in adapting and supplementing English textbooks for national contexts. It has emphasized the importance of tailoring educational materials to suit the specific needs and nuances of diverse educational landscapes. By addressing these issues, educators can enhance the quality and relevance of English language education, ultimately benefiting students' language acquisition and overall learning experience.

Looking ahead, future directions in this field should focus on continued research and collaboration to further refine and improve the adaptation and supplementation process. Additionally, efforts should be made to incorporate innovative teaching methodologies and technology to enhance students' engagement and learning outcomes. By staying attuned to evolving educational trends and student needs, educators can ensure that English language education remains dynamic, effective, and tailored to the unique contexts in which it is taught.

In essence, the adaptation and supplementation of English textbooks for national contexts represent a vital aspect of language education that requires ongoing attention and innovation. By addressing the necessary aspects and embracing future directions, educators can continue to enhance the quality and effectiveness of English language teaching and learning on a global scale.

References

1. Brown, H. D. (2014). *Principles of language learning and teaching* (6th ed.). Pearson Education.
2. Chalipa, S. (2013). The effect of inductive vs. deductive instructional approach in grammar learning of ESL learners. *International researchers*, 2(2), 178-187.
3. Darwin, R., & Norton, B. (2015). Identity and a model of investment in applied linguistics. *Annual review of applied linguistics*, 35, 36-56.
4. Dörnyei, Z. (2014). *The psychology of the language learner: Individual differences in second language acquisition*. Routledge.
5. Ellis, R. (2005). Principles of instructed language learning. *System*, 33(2), 209-224.
6. Macalister, J. (2016). Adapting and adopting materials. In M. Azarnoosh, M. Zeraatpishe, A. Faravani, & H. R. Kargozari (Eds.), *Issues in materials development* (pp. 57–64). Brill.
7. McGrath, I. (2016). *Materials evaluation and design for language teaching*. Edinburgh University Press.
8. Moody, R. (1988). Personality preferences and foreign language learning. *The Modern Language Journal*, 72(4), 389-401.
9. Nation, I. S. P. & Macalister, J. (2010). *Language Curriculum Design*. New York & London: Routledge.
10. Savova, L. (2018). Local materials development practices. In J. I. Lontas (Ed.), *The TESOL encyclopedia of English language teaching* (pp. 1–13). John Wiley & Sons, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118784235.eelt0720>
11. Savignon, S. J. (1991). Communicative language teaching: State of the art. *TESOL Quarterly*, 25(2), 261-278.
12. Tomlinson, B. (Ed.). (2011). *Materials development in language teaching*. Cambridge University Press.