Agricultural production planning and problem solving using MS Excel

Keneshbek Maatov orcid: 0000-0003-7374-6061 e-mail: Maatov.k.m@gmail.com

Zhoomart Mambetov ² orcid: 0000-0003-4455-5887 e-mail: Zhoomart mambetov@mail.ru

Tynybek Naraliev ³ orcid: 0000-0003-8243-5653 e-mail: naralievtynybek470@gmail.com

^{1.2}Osh Technological University named after M. Adyshev, Osh, Kyrgyzstan ³Osh State University, Osh, Kyrgyzstan

Abstract. The article examines the issue of meeting the agricultural production needs of a hypothetical enterprise for the planned period through internal production and the import of products from other enterprises. A mathematical model of optimizing agricultural production and its importation at minimal total costs is formulated. To verify the effectiveness of the formulated model, a specific example is presented and solved using the Solver add-in in Excel.

Keywords: mathematical model, costs, production, wholesale prices, set, arable land, optimization, agricultural products, MS Excel.

1 Introduction

In contemporary times, agriculture perseveres as a pivotal sector within the global economy, serving as a cornerstone for both food security and industrial raw material provision. Proficient planning of agricultural production stands as an indispensable element in fostering the consistent advancement of this domain. Leveraging modern tools, notably Microsoft Excel, substantially streamlines this procedural intricacy, thereby facilitating the attainment of optimal outcomes.

In the modern stage of agricultural development, the role of expanding market access significantly increases, viewed as an indispensable condition for attracting investments in the technical and technological modernization of the sector, enhancing employment, and augmenting revenues for budgets at all levels. Presently, pivotal points of sales growth in agricultural products and the agrarian sector of the national economy as a whole are logistics centers - hubs that shape commodity transport flows at national, regional, and local levels, stimulating the processing of agricultural produce. In [1], the assessment of the role of logistics centers in the agricultural sector and their influence on product competitiveness (using the example of the Southern region of Kyrgyzstan) is examined, along with the necessity for investment planning for the creation of a logistics center with the involvement of the Kyrgyz-Russian Fund.

In [2], a mathematical model is formulated for determining the technological method of agricultural production for an agricultural firm, allowing for the maximization of income. A specific example is considered, and the solution is obtained using a program written in Pascal language.

Theoretical foundations of production efficiency in agriculture, particularly in the Kyrgyz Republic (KR), are examined in [3]. The subjects of agricultural production in the KR are the focus of the study. Theoretical aspects are refined based on a synthesis of existing perspectives from predecessors on this issue, clarifying the concepts and specific features of production efficiency in agriculture. In the current stage of agricultural economy development worldwide, especially in developing countries including Kyrgyzstan, the issue of agricultural production efficiency becomes increasingly acute and relevant. The urgency and relevance of this issue, as well as its formulation, primarily indicate that the republic possesses a sufficient level of potential in the agricultural sector. Only through rational resource utilization, application of intensive technologies, and consequent enhancement of production efficiency can sustainable growth rates of production and steady improvement in the material and cultural standards of rural inhabitants be ensured.

For the effective operation of the agricultural sector within market environments, attaining the rational utilization of existing resources is imperative, extending beyond the confines of agriculture itself

to encompass closely associated industries. In [4], avenues for enhancing the management of agriculture in the Kyrgyz Republic are explored. The study focuses on agricultural entities within the Kyrgyz Republic, conducting a thorough investigation into specific challenges, exemplified through peasant and farm households. The research elucidates the motives driving agricultural production management within Kyrgyzstan's local governing bodies and proposes fundamental strategies to augment the economic efficiency of agricultural production.

The aim of research work [5] is the systematic examination of the theoretical foundations and practical challenges of agrarian reform within the context of evolving market dynamics, alongside the development of practical recommendations and methodological frameworks for their implementation in the conditions of the Kyrgyz Republic. The subject and object of the research encompass a set of organizational and economic issues associated with the formation of agrarian reform. Throughout the study, methods such as systems analysis, economic-statistical analysis, monographic analysis, and comparative methods were employed. The research findings consolidate and deepen theoretical insights pertaining to agrarian sector reform and its impact on the country's economy.

Works [8-11] are dedicated to the planning of agricultural production and the resolution of various tasks using MS Excel.

In this study, methods of agricultural production planning using MS Excel are explored, and the applicability of this tool for addressing specific tasks in the agricultural sector is investigated. The results of works [6-7] are utilized in this study.

2 Methodology

Formulation of the problem. Let a conditional enterprise A_0 have a sown area of various categories in the amount of s_0^l , $l \in L_0 = \{1, 2, ..., L_0\}$, where they can be used for the production of k – types of agricultural products, $k \in K = \{1, 2, ..., p\}$. Based on long-term data, we believe that the yield of each agricultural product by category of sown area is known. The enterprise's needs for various types of products for the planned period amount to q_0^k , $k \in K$.

In addition, the enterprise has trade-economic relations with other similar enterprises A_i , $i \in I = \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ and, if necessary, can purchase agricultural products from them A_i , $i \in I$.

The costs of an enterprise for the production of a unit volume of each type of product on cultivated areas of various categories c_l^k , $k \in K$, $l = 1, 2, ..., L_0$,, procurement, and transportation costs c_{i0}^k , $k \in K$ per unit volume of each type of purchased product from each similar enterprise are known A_i , $i \in I$.

It is necessary to determine the optimal volume of products produced in the enterprise and purchased from A_i , $i \in I$ each type of product so that the total costs of production and purchase of products would be minimal and at the same time, the needs of the enterprise would be fully satisfied.

Having introduced several notations, we compose the mathematical problem for this problem:

l – index of sown areas of various categories $l \in L_0$;

i – index of similar enterprises having trade and economic relations

 $A_i, i \in I = \{1, 2, \dots, m\};$;

k – index of the type of product required for the enterprise $k \in K = \{1, 2, ..., p\}$;

Known parameters:

 S_0^l – And the size of the *l*- category of planting area of the enterprise is known parameters: $l \in L_0$;

 q_0^k – volume of demand for the **k**-type of product for the planned period of the enterprise $k \in K$;

 a_l^k – productivity of the k- agricultural product (in tons) per unit of the l- category of sown area, $k \in K, l \in L_0$;

 c_{i0}^k – procurement and transportation costs of the enterprise per unit volume of products of the k-type from other similar enterprises A_i , $k \in K$, $i \in I$;

 c_l^k – production costs of the enterprise per unit volume of products of the k- type, $k \in K$, $l \in L_0$. Searched variables:

 x_l^k – size of the l- category of sown area for the k- type of agricultural products $k \in K$, $l \in L_0$;

 y_{i0}^k – volume of imported products of the k- type, $k \in K$, $i \in I$.

Using the above notations and taking into account the goal, a mathematical model of the task is compiled:

$$G(x, y) = \sum_{k \in K_n} \sum_{l \in I_n} c_l^k x_l^k + \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{i \in I} c_{ik}^k x_{io}^k \to \min$$

$$\tag{1}$$

subject to restrictions
$$\sum_{k \in K_0} x_l^k \le s_o^l$$
, $l \in L_o$ (2)

$$\sum_{l \in L_0} a_l^k x_l^k + \sum_{i \in I} y_{io}^k = q_o^k, \quad k \in K,$$

$$\sum_{l \in L_0} a_l^k x_l^k \le q_o^k, \quad k \in K_o, \quad (4)$$

$$x_l^k \ge 0, \quad k \in K, \quad l \in L_o, \quad (5)$$

$$y_{io}^k \ge 0, \ k \in K, \ i \in I,$$
 (6)

где
$$x = \left| x_l^k \right|_{|L_o||K_o|}$$
, $y = \left| y_{io}^k \right|_{|I||K|}$

Problem (1)-(6) is a special case of the extremal problem considered in [1].

Let's look at an example. Let the enterprise have a cultivated area of 100 hectares, which consists of four categories of land, i.e., $s_o^I = \{s_o^1, s_o^2, s_o^3, s_o^4\} = \{24, 22, 26, 28\}$

The region's need for three types of crops (in tons) is q_o^k , k = 1,2,3, i.e. $q_o^k = \{q_o^1, q_o^2, q_o^3\} = \{420,200,400\}$.

The yield per unit of sown area from each category of land is given in the form of a matrix a_o^k , k = 1,2,3, i = 1,2,3,4, T.e.:

$$\left| a_l^k \right|_{4,3} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 4 & 16 \\ 7 & 3 & 17 \\ 5 & 3 & 14 \\ 4 & 2 & 10 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The costs of growing the k- type of agricultural product per unit size of sown area are known, depending on the category of land c_l^k , k = 1,2,3, i = 1,2,3,4, (som/ha) i.e.:

$$\begin{vmatrix} c_l^k \end{vmatrix}_{4,3} = \begin{pmatrix} 32250.0 & 30950.0 & 12125.0 \\ 29950.0 & 33100.0 & 14100.0 \\ 34500.0 & 37450.0 & 21120.0 \\ 38200.0 & 42300.0 & 41200.0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Also known are the purchase and transportation costs of the c_{io}^k k- type of agricultural products from A_iBA_o , k=1,2,3, i=1,2,3, i.e.:

$$\begin{vmatrix} c_{io}^k \\ _{3,3} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 11000 & 12000 & 40000 \\ 9000 & 10000 & 2500 \\ 10000 & 9000 & 3700 \end{pmatrix}$$

By the above data, the mathematical model of the problem will be written in the form. Find the minimum

$$G(x, y) = 32250.0x_{1}^{1} + 30950.0x_{1}^{2} + 12125.0x_{1}^{3} + 29950.0x_{2}^{1} + 33100.0x_{2}^{2} +$$

$$+ 14100.0x_{2}^{3} + +34500.0x_{3}^{1} + 37450.0x_{3}^{2} + 21120.0x_{3}^{3} + 38200.0x_{4}^{1} + 42300.0x_{4}^{2} +$$

$$+ 41200.0x_{4}^{3} + 11000.0y_{10}^{1} + 12000.0y_{10}^{2} + 4000.0y_{10}^{3} + 9000.0y_{20}^{1} + 10000.0y_{20}^{2} +$$

$$+ 2500.0y_{20}^{3} + 10000.0y_{30}^{1} + 9000.0y_{30}^{2} + 3700.0y_{30}^{3}$$

under conditions

$$\sum_{k=1}^{3} x_1^k \le 24, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{3} x_2^k \le 22, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{3} x_3^k \le 26, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{3} x_4^k \le 28,$$
 (8)

$$6x_1^1 + 7x_2^1 + 5x_3^1 + 4x_4^1 + \sum_{i=1}^3 y_{i0}^i = 420.0$$
(9)

$$4x_1^2 + 3x_2^2 + 3x_3^2 + 2x_4^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} y_{i0}^2 = 200.0,$$
 (10)

$$16x_1^3 + 17x_2^3 + 14x_3^3 + 10x_4^3 + \sum_{i=1}^3 y_{i0}^3 = 400.0,$$
(11)

$$6x_1^1 + 7x_2^1 + 5x_3^1 + 168x_4^1 \le 420.0, (12)$$

$$4x_1^2 + 3x_2^2 + 3x_3^2 + 2x_4^2 \le 200.0, (13)$$

$$16x_1^3 + 17x_2^3 + 14x_3^3 + 10x_4^3 \le 400.0, (14)$$

$$x_l^k \ge 0, \ l = 1, 2, 3, 4, \ k = 1, 2, 3,$$
 (15)

$$y_{io}^k \ge 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$
 (16)

$$_{\Gamma \Pi e} x = \left| x_l^k \right|_{4,3}, \quad y = \left| y_{io}^k \right|_{3,3}.$$

Having solved problem (7)-(16) using the "Solution Search" add-in in Excel [2], we obtain an optimal production plan in A_0 and a plan for the purchase of agricultural products from similar enterprises A_i , i=1,2,3, with which we have trade-economic relations, i.e.:

$$X^* = \left\{ x_1^3 = 24.0, \ x_2^1 = 22.0, \ x_3^1 = 24.86, \ x_3^3 = 1.143, \ x_4^1 = 28.0 \right\},$$

 $y^* = \left\{ y_{20}^1 = 29.71, \ y_{10}^3 = 200.0 \right\},$

where the objective function has a value

 $G(x^*,y^*)=4968637.143$ som.

From the optimal solution to the problem it follows that enterprise A_0 , to meet the population's needs for agricultural products for the planned period, must allocate from the first category of sown area in the amount of $x_1^3 = 24.0$ hectares for the third type of agricultural products, from the second category of sown area in the amount of $x_2^1 = 22.0$ hectares for the first type of agricultural products, from the third

category The sown area should be allocated in the amount of $x_3^1 = 24.86$, $x_3^3 = 1.143$ hectares. respectively, for the first and third types of agricultural products. From the fourth category, the sown area in the amount of $x_4^1 = 28.0$ hectares should be allocated for the first type of product.

It is clear from the decision that the production of agricultural products of the first and second types from the first and second categories of land is more expensive than imported ones. In this regard, it is recommended to meet the demand of enterprise A_0 for this type of agricultural product with imported products from A_{20} and A_{30} in the amount of $y_{20}^1 = 29.71$ and $y_{10}^2 = 200.0$ tons

With this plan, the total costs of the enterprise A₀ are

 $G(x^*,y^*) = 4968637.143$ som.

Thus, the formulated mathematical model can be used by relevant departments to plan agricultural production.

3 Conclusion

The article presents a mathematical model for meeting the agricultural production needs of a hypothetical enterprise over a planned period through internal production and import of products from other enterprises. A specific example is provided. In solving the problem, the MS Excel spreadsheet was utilized. The use of MS Excel in agricultural production planning helps agricultural enterprises efficiently manage resources, minimize risks, and achieve optimal results. Thus, the formulated mathematical model can be used by relevant authorities for planning agricultural production.

References

- Umarov, S.T. Assessment of the role of logistics centers in the agricultural sector and their impact on product competitiveness using mathematical decision-making methods (on the example of the Southern region of Kyrgyzstan) / S.T. Umarov, K.M. Maatov // Economics and Innovation Management. – 2020. – No. 1(12). – P. 83-93.
- 2. Zhusupbaev, N.A. Determination of the technological method of agricultural production for a farm based on the criterion of maximum income / N.A. Zhusupbaev, Zh. Turganbayeva, K. Maatov // Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics of the National Academy of Sciences of the Kyrgyz Republic. 2018. No. 1. P. 163-168.
- 3. Abdiev M.J. Improving management in agriculture of the Kyrgyz Republic / M. J. Abdiev, K. M. Maatov // Economy And Business: Theory And Practice. 2017. № 2. C. 4-7.
- 4. Mametova, G.A. Theoretical foundations of production efficiency in agriculture / G.A. Mametova, K.M. Maatov, K.A. Ergeshov // Economics and Business: Theory and Practice. 2017. No. 2. P. 56-60.
- 5. Ergeşov, K.A. Features of agrarian reform in the Kyrgyz Republic in market conditions / K.A. Ergeşov // Science. Education. Technology. 2017. No. 1(58). P. 23-26.
- 6. Asankulova, M., Zhusupbaev, A. Optimization of extraction and distribution of raw materials among consumers depending on the period // Problems of modern science and education. 2016. No. 4 (46). P. 7 12. ISSN 2304-2338 (print version), ISSN 2413-4635 (electronic version)
- 7. Asankulova, M., Zhusupbaev, A., Zhusupbaev, G.A. Determination of the maximum income of the enterprise with limited financial resources // Current directions of scientific research of the XXI century: Theory and Practice. 2015. Vol. 3. No. 7 part 1(18-1). P. 101 105.
- 8. Asankulova, M. Determination of the optimal size of the sown area for agricultural crops of the farm / M. Asankulova, N.K. Suinalieva, K. Maatov // Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics of the National Academy of Sciences of the Kyrgyz Republic. -2018. No. 1. P. 37-43.
- 9. Ashirbaeva, A.Zh. Solving the integer programming problem using MS Excel / A.Zh. Ashirbaeva, K.A. Abdizakir // Proceedings of the Osh Technological University. $-2020.-No.\ 1.-P.\ 152-155.$
- 10. Kultaev, T.Ch. Ways to improve the efficiency of processing enterprises of agricultural products in the Kyrgyz Republic / T.Ch. Kultaev, K.K. Toktorov // Proceedings of the Issyk-Kul Forum of Accountants and Auditors of the Central Asian countries. 2020. No. 3(30). P. 77-82.
- 11. Maatov, K.M. Determination of the optimal volume of production and its leasing in the cotton industry / K.M. Maatov // Bulletin of Jalal-Abad State University. 2023. No. S2(55). P. 135-141.